Sojoumeron Mars and Lessons Learned for Future Planetary Rovers

Brian W ikox and Tam Nguyen NASA 5 Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Copyright© 1997 Society of Autom otive Engineers, Inc.

ABSTRACT

On July 4, 1997, the Mars Pathfinder spacecraft successfully landed on Mars in the Ares Vallis landing site and deployed an 11.5-kilogram microrover named Sojourner. This microrover accomplished its primary mission objectives in the first 7 days, and continued to operate for a total of 83 sols (1 sol = Mars day = 1 Earth day + ~24 mins) until the lander bst communication with Earth, probably due to lander battery failure. The microrover navigated to many sites surrounding the lander, and conducted various science and technology experiments using its on-board instruments.

In this paper, the rover navigation performance is analyzed on the basis of received rover telemetry, rover uplink commands and stereo in ages captured by the lander cameras. Its physical traversal path is redrawn from the stereo in ages containing tracks and is compared with the rover-recorded path and the driverplanned path. Implications for next-generation planetary rovers are described, including the sub-1-Kg Nanorover being built by NASA to conduct asteroid exploration as part of the Japanese MUSES-C sample return mission and the large roverwith the Athena paybad which willbe used as part of the Mars sample return program.

NTRODUCTION

The Pathfinder spacecraft landed on Mars on July 4, 1997, and the nextday the Sojoumer rover rolled down a ramp onto the surface and began is exploration of the Mars environment near the lander. The mission called for the rover to move to sites of interest nearly every sol to conduct science and engineering experiments. Equipped with navigation and articulation sensors and vision cameras, the rover carried out its daily traversals autonom ously based on sets of driver commands sent from Earth. One of the technology experiments planned for the Sojoumerm ission was the reconstruction of the actual path of the rover as compared to its commanded path, so as to give insight for the design and operation of future planetary rovers. This paper presents some of the results of that technology experiment.

The size boations were designated by a hum an operator using engineering data collected during previous traversals and end-of-solstereo in ages captured by the ander MP (Imager for Mars Pathfinder) cameras. During the traversals the rover autonom ously avoided rock, drop-off, and sbpe hazards. It changed its course to avoid these hazards and turned back toward its goals whenever the hazards were no briger in its way. The rover used "dead reckoning" counting wheel turns and using on-board rate sensors estimate position. Although the rover telemetry recorded its responses to hum an driver commands in detail, the vehicle's actual positions were not known until exam ination of the lander stereo in ages at the end of the sol. A collection of stereo in ages containing rover tracks allows reconstruction of the rover physical traversal path thoughout the mission. Since the primary purpose for a robotic vehicle on anotherplanet is to move precisely to targets of scientific interest, the ability of the vehicle to sense and navigate to precise boations is in portant to gauge. The accuracy of navigation of Sojourner and its implications for future planetary rovers is the subject of this paper.

THE ROVER

Sojumer (Figures 1 & 2) is a six-wheeled vehicle 68 cm bng, 48 cm wide, and 28 cm high (with 17 cm ground clearance). The body is builton the rocker-bogie chassis which, by use of passive pivotam s, allows the vehicle to maintain an almost constant weight distribution on each wheel on very inegular terrain. As a result, Sojumer was able to traverse obstacles about 1.5 times as big as the wheels, since the rear wheels are able to maintain traction even while pushing the front wheels into vertical steps hand enough to get lifting traction. This consists of linkages, six motorized wheels, and four motorizedsteering mechanisms. The vehicles maximum speed is about 0.7 cm /sec. More details of the design and im plem entation can be found in [1], [2], [3].

The rover is controlled by an Intel+8085 CPU operating at 2M Hz (100K IPS). The on-board m em ory, addressable in 16 Kbyte pages, includes 16 Kbyte rad-hard PROM, 176 Kbyte EEPROM, 64 Kbyte rad-hard RAM and 512 Kbyte RAM. The navigation sensors consist of a rate gyro, 3 accelerom eters for sensing the X, Y, and Z axis motion,

and 6 wheelencoders for odom ety. Articulation sensors include differential and left and right bogey potentiom eters. W heel steering and APXS (Alpha-Proton X-Ray Spectrom eter) positions are monitored by 5 potentiom eters. All motor currents and the tem peratures of vial components are also monitored.



Figure 1: The SojournerRover



Figure 2: The roverassem bly

The two front black and white CCD cam eras (768 x 484 pixels) provide hazard detection and science/operation in aging. The rearcobrCCD cam era is used for science in aging and APXS target verification. A suite of five infrared laser stripe projectors, coupled with the front CCD cameras, provide the proximity sensing and hazard detection capability for the vehicle. This system operates by bcating the image of the laser stripes on a few selected scan lines of the cam era in ages. Deviations of the detected bcations from the nominal flat-tenain values indicate that the terrain is uneven. An array of elevation values is created from the stripe-camera intercepts. Proximity hazards are detected when elevation differences between adjacent points in the array exceed a threshold, or when the difference between the highest and bwest point in the anay exceeds a threshold. Other hazards include excessive roll or pitch, or excessive articulation of the chassis, or contact with bump sensors on the front or rear of the vehicle.

A bilimectional UHF madio modem (9600 bits/second) albws the vehicle to transmit telemetry and to receive commands from earth via the lander. On-board science instruments include an Alpha Proton X-Ray Spectrometer (APXS), the WAE (Wheel Abrasion Experiment), and the MAE (Material Adherence Experiment). The vehicle is powered by a 15-wattGaAs solar panel backed up in case of failure by a nonrechargeable Lithium battery, which was also used for nighttim e APXS operations.

The rover is operated on the basis of a fixed bcal coordinate frame with origin at the center of the lander base and the X and Y axes pointed to Martian North and East (right-hand rule), respectively (Martian North is defined by the Lander sun finder). The vehicles X,Y positions are calculated (at ~2 Hz rate) by integrating its odom eter (average of the six wheelencoder counts) with the heading changes produced by the rate gyro. Due to the bw processor speed and lack of fbating point arithmetic, millimeter (mm) and Binary Angle Measurement (BAM) are used as distance and turn angle units respectively (1 Deg = 182 BAM or 360 Degs = 65,536 BAM). While moving, the vehicle monitored its inclination, articulation, contact sensing, motor and power currents, and tem peratures to be sure they did not exceed limit conditions based on risk level settings. Being too close and heading toward lander conditions are also monitored. The rover periodically sends a heartbeat signal to the lander at one vehicle-length intervals. In the absence of this communication signal, the vehicle is autonomously backed up half of its length and a communication retry takes place. The rover motion is commanded by one of the following commands: Turn, Move, Go to Waypoint, Find Rock, and Position APXS.

The Turn command in general causes the vehicle to change its heading in place. The four steered wheels are adjusted into their appropriate positions, then the vehicle wheels are turned until the desired heading, indicated by integrating the rate gyro, is met. In case the gyro is disabled, the odom ety is used to calculate the heading changes; if both the gyro and odom eter are disabled, tim ing is used in the calculation. The Turn To command causes the vehicle to turn to a specific heading, while the Turn By command causes the vehicle to turn to a relative heading. The Turn At command causes the vehicle to turn so as to point to a specific X, Y position.

The Move command enables the vehicle to move for a specified distance, using only odom etry and no hazard avoidance. This "blind move" is useful when the terrain is clearly seen by the operator (in in ages from the lander) and the move is a short one. The Set Steering Position parameter of the Move command determines the arc radius of the move.

The Go to W appoint command causes the vehicle to traverse to a specified X Y boation. The vehicle drives forward a distance of one wheel radius and stops for laser proximity scanning. A terrain height map is

constructed internally from the information provided by the lasers and CCD in agers. If an obstack is detected on the left, the vehicle will turn right, and visa versa. A flag is set which indicates the direction of the turn, and the vehicle will continue turning by increments until a hazard-free zone at least as wide as the vehicle is detected by the baser scanning system . If the char zone is wider than the vehicle turning circle, then the rover drives straight ahead far enough to bring the obstacle abngside. Then the roverbegins an arc toward the goal point, clears all memory of the hazard avoidance maneuver, and continues. If the clear zone is narrower than the vehicle turning circle (but wider than the vehicle) then a "thread-the-needle" maneuver is attempted. This maneuver centers the rover on the perpendicular bisector between the two hazards, and moves straight ahead along that line until a zone big enough to turn around is detected. Once such a zone is detected, all m em ory of the m aneuver is deleted and the rover begins an arc toward the goal. If an obstack is encountered prior to detection of a free turning circle, then the rover backs straight out to the point where the thread-theneedle maneuverbegan, and the rover continues to turn until another hazard-free zone is detected. Arcs toward the goal are calculated to three values: if the rover is already pointed toward the goal (within a small deadband) then the rover goes straight, if the rover heading is outside that deadband but less than about 1 radian, then a large-radius turn (about 2 m eters) is begun which turns toward the goal, and if the heading is more than 1 radian from the goaldirection, then a short radius turn (about 1 m eter) is begun which turns toward the goal. Note than a turn in place maneuver is not used here, since that would cause the rover to become trapped in "box canyons" whereas the present algorithm does not.

The Find Rock command is very similar to the Go to W aypoint command, except that after a hazard is detected at approximately the X,Y position of the waypoint, then the rover centers its heading between the edges of the rock using proximity sensing. If the destination coordinates are reached withoutany rocks found along the way, a spiral search is performed until the rock is found. Go to W aypoint and Find Rock commands also contain a maximum time duration for execution. If that time is exceeded then the command term inates.

The Position APXS command enables the vehicle to m ove backward until the APXS sensor head contacts the rock that has been found or until the m axim um allowable distance has been reached without contactor tim e-out.

For every uplink com m and, the vehicle sends either an acknowledge m essage or the telem etry collected during execution of the commands, including any error m essages. Navigation telem etry in general contains the time tag, the command sequence number, the current X,Y and heading values, steering positions, inclination and articulation values, m otor currents, tem peratures, and contact and encoder inform ation. In addition, the G o to W aypoint and Rock Finding telem etry data also include the obstacle height map provided by the proximity and hazard avoidance mechanism for every 6.5 cm of traverse.

The health check telem ety provides a snapshot of the current status of the vehicle. In addition to almost all of the navigation information, the power supply current and volage status, individual wheel odom eter readings, communication error counts, device failcounts, min/m ax accelerom etervalues, motor current values, and average motor currents of the last traversal are reported here. O ther rover telem etry data is designed to report data from science, engineering experiments and rover housekeeping utilities.

In a Turn com m and, the rover com pletes a turn when the gyro heading is in within +/-15 degrees of the desired heading. In a Move com m and, the rover com pletes a move once the average six wheel encoder count exceeds the desired encoder count. Part of the distance errors are due to the wheelslippage, and they depend on the ternain the vehicle traverses.

In Go to W aypoint and Rock Finding commands, the rover reaches its destination when $dX * dY < 100 \text{ mm}^2$; dX and dY are distances from the vehicle to its target position in X and Y respectively. In case the rover can not get to its destination due to an obstacle at the destination, the rover declares a successful command completion when it comes within 500 mm² of the target destination. The vehicle monitors the progress of the GoTo W aypoint and Find Rock commands and enforces a time limit (which is a parameter of the command).

THE ROVER CONTROL WORKSTATION

The rover is indirectly controlled by hum an operators using the Rover Control Workstation (RCW). The RCW is custom ized graphical user interface software provides tools for the operator to generate commands with parameter checking capabilities, and to designate waypoints in a 3-D in age display. A command sequence which comprises multiple commands is built based on requests from the scientists, vehicle engineering telem etry, and the end-of-solstereo in ages captured by the lander cameras. The rover 3-D icon shown on the RCW display allows the operator to assess traverse ability by placing the icon over a 3-D Martian tenan in age set at any position and orientation. The rover's current position and heading are also acquired by matching the icon with the rover's physical position in the stereo in ages. This capability allows the operator to reinitialize the vehicle's true position and orientation at the beginning of a sol. In Go to W aypoint designation, the operator specifies the rover destinations by placing the rover 3-D cursor at each waypoint, then clicking the mouse to identify these destinations. The RCW records these waypoints and generates the Go to Waypoint commands automatically. Other commands are generated from operator-specified parameter values, and the command sequence file is created. The accuracy of the designation depends on the distance

between the stereo cameras, in age resolution, and hum an designation ability. The overall accuracy of the designation was estimated at about 2 to 3 percent for cross and down ranges, and for heading.

THE ROVER NAVIGATION PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The rover traversed 49 sols out of 83 active sols on Mars. It visited 16 distinct sites (9 rock and 7 soil bcations), analyzed them using its on-board instrum ents, and captured over 500 in ages [4]. The roverhad alm ost circum navigated the lander in its 100-m eter traversal. Since the terrain near the lander where the rover had been deployed is nearly obstack-free [Figure 3], most of the traversal commands used during the first 12 sols were bw-levelcomm ands (ie.Move, Turn, and Position APXS commands). The commands were used thereafter whenever the driver determ ined that the rover might have to negotiate a rocky/drop-off terrain. The rover dem onstrated its ability to negotiate rocky/drop-off tenain on sol 24 and sol 33 during the execution of Go to Waypoint commands. To increase the traversal accuracy, the Turn At commands were used to adjust the rover heading toward its destinations before the Go to W aypointor M ove com m ands were issued.



Figure 3:0 bstack-free area near the ram p

Due to relatively enatic observed performance of the vehicle in maintaining its heading knowledge during the mission, the gyro was deliberately disabled after sol49. Subsequently, all the turn-in-place turns were then determined by a vitual heading sensor based on the wheel odometers. The arcing movement toward destination mechanism in the Go to W aypoint command was automatically replaced by straight movement and turn-in-place mechanisms.

DATA ANALYSIS

Since the true physical position of the vehicle at any momentwas notknown untilend-of-solin ages became available, the vehicle's response to every single navigation comm and could not be measured. Therefore, in this analysis, the heading and distance errors for every solare determ ined from the end-of-solposition estimate made by the rover as identified in the downlink telemetry as compared to the position of the rover as initialized by the driver using the end-of-sol stereo in ages from the MP. The traversal distance per sol is defined as the total distances the rover moved during its traversals, both forward (+) and backward (-). The traversal heading per sol is defined as the total change in heading which the rover integrated during its traversal, both left and right turns, in that particular sol.

Extaction of the downlink navigation telemetry of every solresults in the final vehicle position and heading, and the total traversal distance and total turn angle per sol. The Set Vehicle Position command in an uplink command of the subsequent sold effines the true position and heading of the vehicle at the end of the previous sol. This position is determined by the driver through the endof-sol stereo in ages. The distance between the end-ofsol telemetry position and physical position is the rover distance encorper sol. The difference between the endof-sol telemetry heading and its physical heading is the heading encorper sol. Figure 4 shows the encordistance as a function of total distance traveled for each sol.



Figure 4: EmorD istance vs.D istance Traveled together with Linear-fitted Curve.

Based on the gyro data from tum-in-place tums and the odom ety data from health checks before and after each tum was perform ed, the tums accounted for by both the gyro and the odom eter can be compared. There are only 55 tums which contain both gyro-based and odom eter-based tum information. The gyro tum error percentage is defined as the difference between the absolutes of the odom etry-based tum and the gyrobased tum divided by the absolute of the gyro-based tum. Figure 5 shows the gyro tum errors vs.gyro tum angles with the linear-fitting curve overlaid. The tum errors are greater when the vehicle makes right tums; these indicate that the gyro was drifting to the left while turning.



Figure 5:G yro Turn enors vs.G yro Turn angles with the linear-fitting curve overhid.

PATH RECONSTRUCTION

The stereo in ages of the rover captured by the lander $\mathbb{M}P$ cameras were used to determ ine the end-of-sol physical vehicle position and orientation. By extending the use of these in ages the true vehicle traversal paths

can be reconstructed. Out of more than 1000 stereo in ages, there are 272 stereo in ages containing the rover tracks which can be used for path reconstruction. A custom program was modified and used to trace all the rover tracks, thereby determ ining the XYZ position of any defined point in the in age using triangulation together with the in age's cam era model.

Track positions were determ ined and recorded, and the rover physical path over the entire m ission is plotted in Figure 6. The physical path, which has many incom plete sections due to lack of stereo in ages containing track inform ation, is drawn on the navigation telementy plot (rovers internal-knowledge plot) for comparison. Even with m issing of track data, the combined drawing demonstrates the accuracy of the rover navigation, and how the vehicle's internal knowledge had perceived its navigation. Note that for some sols, the redrawing of vehicle tracks cannot be done correctly, since some of the stereo in ages contain multiple tracks with one overhaid the others.



Figure 6: shows Rover's Physical Path (dark cobrno straight lines) and Rover's Internal Know Ledge Path (light cobr) of the entire m ission (Grid size = 1 m 2)



Figure 7: shows R overs Driver-planned Path (dark & narrow with lines, connected designated destinations) and R overs Internalknow ledge Path (widerwith lines) of the entire m ission (Grid size = 1 m 2)

M ost of vehicle end-of-solpositions were found to be to the right of its internalknow ledge paths as seen in Figure 6; this observation agrees with the gyro left-drifted behavior discussed above. All the navigation com m ands were extracted from the uplink com m and sequences, and the navigation planned by the driver for the entire m ission is plotted together with the rover's internalknow ledge plot in Figure 7. This shows the driverexpectation destination positions of rover for every single navigational com m and. These destinations closely m atch the rover's internal-know ledge, since it is servoing to its internal representation of the com m anded path.

DISCUSSION

Autonom ous navigation of the Sojourner rover, com bined with human assistance through the Rover Control W orkstation, has proven the rover's capability to traverse to designated sites for science and engineering experiments. The average heading error was about 6.8 percent, chiefly due to gyro inaccuracy. Testing with the same type of gyro subsequent to the mission indicates that switching noise from the DC-DC converters probably contributed greatly to the magnitude of the gyro drift, and that with clean power the manufacturers specifications for drift are achieved (0.01 deg/sec-root(Hz)). The heading error also influenced the distance error, defined as the ratio of the vehicle error distance to the traversed distance. The distance error includes the cross and down range error com ponents, which were often difficult to disam biguate due to the complex nature of the rover path and the many turns involved. For almost all sols in

Figure 4, it was the cross range error component that contributed most significantly to the distance error. Evaluating the cross and down range errors mathematically would be inappropriate since there were no lander stereo in ages at the end of every single navigation command to be used in determining the physical position and orientation of the vehicle. The cross and down range errors caused by gyro drift were noticed on Earth during the testing phase, but there was no otherm in gyro available on the market at that time suitable for the design and space constraints. W heel slippage might have contributed insignificantly to the rover navigation perform ance error since in some early mission sols (sol 4) with straight moves, the gyro heading enorwas bw, resulting in the a distance enor.

The overall rover control and navigation design which albws the driver to reset the vehicle position every single sol had eliminated rover cum ulative navigation errors. The rover 3D-cursor in the RCW enables the driver to measure the rover position and orientation accurately, and directs the rover to its destination. How ever, with the inaccuracy of the gyro, designation of rover destinations som etim es became cum bersom e and lengthy, especially when the APXS was to be placed on a rock.

FUTURE M ISSIONS

Two current rover m issions are under developm ent: the Athena rover for M ars and the MUSES-CN m ission to an asteroid, which is a joint m ission with the Japanese space agency ISAS. The Athena rover is the result of an Announcem ent of Opportunity issued by NASA in the sum m er of 1997. Prof. Stephen Squyres of Cornell University is the Principal Investigator for the science paybad for that rover. The Athena roverwillbe large (~1 m bng and ~50Kg) and go much farther from the lander than did Sojourner (perhaps Km instead of <10 m). The MUSES-CN rover has been dubbed a nanorover [5], since it is much smaller than the microrover Sojourner (~15 cm bng, <1Kg), and it will not have a lander at all, but just fall balistically onto the asteroid from an orbiter. Thus neither Athena nor the nanorover will have the benefit of the close proximity of a lander which can be used to provide a fixed observation platform and coordinate frame. Thus, the Sojournerm ission strategy of using the lander stereo cameras to reestablish the precise position and orientation of the rover once perday is not applicable.

Instead, the rovers must determ he their own position and orientation. As we have seen, the Sopumervehick bsttrack of its orientation relatively quickly due to drift in its rate gyro. In the case of both Athena and the nanorover, some sort of celestial navigation is required to maintain heading knowledge. (Neither Mars nor the asteroid is thought to have a gbbalm agnetic field which would be useful for heading measurem ent.)

Athena will have a sun sensor, which will albe the direction vector to the sun to be measured with respect to the vehicle coordinate frame. Acclerom eters willalbe measurement of the bcal gravity vector in the same coordinates. Knowledge of the precise time of day will albe the prediction of where the sun should be in the sky (assuming the latitude and longitude are known), and thus albe computation of the rover's heading in global coordinates.

It is planned for the nanorover to in age the star field whenever the absolute orientation in space is needed. The cam era will be able to in age stars as dim as about the limit of hum an vision on a dark night, which gives about 4000 stars in the celestial field. Any random fieldof-view of the cam era will have approximately visible 7 stars expected. Because the angles between stars can be accurately measured in such an image, the angles and relative brightness between any two allow rapid and precise identification of the stars in a star catabg. Such a catabg can be sorted by star brightness, and contain the precise angles and D num bers of the nearby stars.

By these techniques, the rover heading know ledge will be maintained over the bng term. However, it is in practical to continuously make stellar observations. Thus Athena will also have rate gyros (with better power filtering than Sojourner), and the nanorover will use odom etry. O dom etry on an asteroid with only 10 microgees of surface gravity may be suspect, but if the speed is less than about 2 mm per second, then rolling contact will be maintained. At speeds higher than this, the motion will be interm itent ballistic hopping. For the MUSES-CN mission, rolling at bw speed will be done for precise navigation to nearby science targets, and ballistic hopping will be attempted to reach distant targets. During ballistic hops, star finding will be employed to maintain the know ledge of the vehicle attitude. Also, the approximate attitude can be inferred from the distribution of power from the solar panels which cover the exposed faces of the body of the rover.

For these future missions, the rover will require an improved navigation system, including reliable accelerometers, an accurate gyro, and a sun sensor, together with terrain mapping capability. This must be a navigation system with much greater accuracy, to allow the rover to navigate autonomously for hundreds of meters per solwith much less intervention from hum an operators. The rover will have to reach its destination precisely in order to perform science experiments as commanded.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the other members of the RoverControland Navigation Team (Jake R.Matijevic, Henry W.Stone, Andrew H.Mishkin, Jack C.Monrison, Brian K.Cooper, Richard V.Welch, Allen R.Sirota, and Arthur D. Thom pson) who created the SojournerControl and Navigation subsystem and who operated the rover during the mission. We would like to acknowledge all the members of the Mars PathfinderTeam.

The Microrover Flight Experiment (MFEX) is a NASA-OACT (Office of Advanced Concepts and Technobgy) activity. The work described in this publication was carried out by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technobgy, under a contract with the NationalAeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

REFERENCES

- Jacob R. Matijevic, "Mars Pathfinder Microrover Im plem enting a Low Cost Planetary Mission Experiment", Proceedings of the Second IAA International Conference on Low Cost Planetary, John Hopkins University, Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, Maryland, April 1996.
- Henry W. Stone, "Mars Pathfinder Microrover: A Low-Cost, Low-Power Spacecraft", Proceedings of the 1996 AIAA Forum on Advanced Developments in Space Robotics, Madison, W I, August 1996.
- Henry W. Stone, "Design and Control of the MESUR/Pathfinder Microrover", Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Robotics, Tokyo, Japan, Novem ber 1993.
- 4. Andrew H. Mishkin, J. Morrison, T. Nguyen, H. Stone, B.Cooper, B. Wikox, "Experiences with Operations and Autonomy of the Mars Pathfinder Microrover", Proceedings of the 1998 EEE Aerospace Conference, March 21-28, Snowmass at Aspen, Cobrado.
- 5. W ibox, B et al, "Nanorovers for Planetary Exploration", proc. AIAA Robotics Technology Forum, Madison W I, pp 11-1 to 11-6, 1-2 Aug 1996.